

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/552,414	Applicant(s) MIKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Kuang Y. Lin	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(2) Mr. Barth.

Date of Interview: 2 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the language of last six lines of claim 1 should be changed to better define the scope of the claim. See examiner's amendment for detail.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: amendment after first office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)